

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/541,779

Applicant(s)

HIROTA, HISATOSHI

Examiner

Timothy P. Solak

Art Unit

3746

## All Participants:

(1) Timothy P. Solak.

(2) Amy Salmela.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005

Time: PM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*10 and 13*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

I informed Amy Salmela that the Figures did disclose the claimed limitations, namely the discharge pressure port and the inhalation pressure port interconnected by a leakage passage (Claim 10) or the differential pressure port and a discharge pressure duct interconnected by a leakage passage (Claim 13). I suggested changing the Claims such that a differential port and inhalation port were connected as a possible solution.

Amy Salmela informed me that Claim 10 need to be corrected; but that Claim 13 was correct and referred to the embodiment of Figure 8. I agreed with Amy Salmela that Figure 8 did show the inhalation and differential ports interconnected.

Amy Salmela agreed to all the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.